

Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/797,931		BEVINGTON, JACK T.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	DAVID W. SCHEUERMANN		2834	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) DAVID W. SCHEUERMANN. (3) _____

(2) Raye Daugherty. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 14 -15 April 2010.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: _____


Identification of prior art discussed: _____

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


QUYEN LEUNG
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant was concerned as to the cut -off date for any after final is given the full two month time period as set forth in closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,453 O.G. 213." which extends beyond the two year statutory bar for reissues as set forth in 35 U.S.C.251 which states that. "No reissued patent shall be granted enlarging the scope of the claims of the original patent unless applied for within two years from the grant of the original patent." Applicant see the two year period ending soon and has not yet received a notice of allowance.

Since the amendment after final dated in eDAN on 3/17/2010 includes the Amendment and Declaration as set forth and required in the Quayle Action dated 3/25/2010 in eDAN "crossed in the mail" the Quayle Action dated 3/25/2010 in eDAN is hereby vacated.

Action: Quayle Action dated 3/25/2010 in eDAN is vacated.